

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/889,755	HJERTHOLM, OLE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alison K. Pickard	3676	

All Participants:

(1) Alison K. Pickard.

(2) Julian Cohen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all of record

Prior art documents discussed:

all of record, esp. Douglass '944

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Alison K. Pickard
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called with a suggestion to make the claims allowable over the prior art of record. It appeared Douglas '944 (in view of Watts '998) still read on at least claim 15. Adding the limitation that the armatures fit together along conical contact surfaces overcomes the combination. The suggestion was approved and entered via examiner's amendment..